

# Advance Research in Dermatology & Cosmetics (ARDC)

# ISSN: 2992-9350

# Volume 3 Issue 1, 2024

# **Article Information**

Received date : 06 May, 2024 Published date: 10 June, 2024

# \*Corresponding author

Rolf Bambauer, Institute for Blood Purification, University if Saarland, Germany

DOI: 10.54026/ARDC/1017

# **Key Words**

Therapeutic apheresis; Human monoclonal antibodies; Pemphigus vulgaris; Bullous pemphigoid; D-penicillin-amine-induced pemphigus; Cutaneous T cell lymphoma; Dermatitis herpetiformis; Herpes; Gestations; Scleroderma; Pyoderma gangrenosum; Lyell syndrome; Bechet disease; Psoriasis vulgaris; Henoch-Schoenlein purpura; Porphyria cutanea tarda

Distributed under: Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 **Review Article** 

# Therapeutic Apheresis, Immunosuppression, and Human Monoclonal Antibodies in Dermatologic Diseases

# Rolf Bambauer<sup>1+</sup>, Ralf Schiel<sup>2</sup>, Octavio J Salgado<sup>3</sup> and Richard Straube<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Blood Purification, University if Saarland, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Clinic for Metabolic Diseases, Medigreif Inselklinik, Germany

<sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, Universidad Católica de Cuenca, Ecuador

# Abbreviations

AAC: Apheresis Committee; ASFA: American Society For Apheresis; BD: Behcet disease; BP: bullous pemphigoid; CTCL: Cutaneus T Cell Lymphoma; DM: Dermatomyositis; ECP: Extracorporeal Photopheresis; HMA: Human Monoclonal antibodies; HPS: Henoch-Schönlein purpura; IgG: Immunoglobulin G; IL: Interleukin; IA: Immunoadsorption; IL: Interleukin; IS: Immunosuppression; IVIG: Intravenous immunoglobin, IMB: Internal Medical Board; PCT: Porphyria Cutanea Tarda, PG: Pyoderma Gangrenosun; PV: Pemphigus Vulgaris, RG: Recommendation Grade; SH: Sulfhydryl; SJS: Steverns-Johson syndrome; SS: Sézary Syndrome; TA: Therapeutic apheresis; TBSA: Total Body Surface Area; TEN: Druginduced Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis; TNF-a: Tumor Necrosis Factor-a, TPE: Therapeutic Apheresis, TPE: Therapeutic Plasma Exchange; UV: Ultra-Violet

# Abstract

Severe and/or refractory dermatological diseases with immunologic origin to conventional therapy have a bad prognosis. Autoimmune blistering diseases have a high morbidity and mortality. Therapeutic apheresis is an essential supportive treatment for severe and refractory dermatological diseases with an immunologic origin, particularly autoimmune blistering diseases. This approach has been shown to significantly improve the prognosis of these diseases. Therapeutic apheresis, combined with immunosuppressive therapy and/or human monoclonal antibodies, has treated successfully autoimmune blistering skin disorders. These diseases are caused by the immune system's targeting of structural proteins in the skin and/or mucous membranes. Improved diagnostic methods have allowed to determine that the incidence and prevalence of these disorders have doubled in the last 15 years to 25 new cases per million people per year owing to an aging population. Over the last 45 years, therapeutic apheresis, in combination with immunosuppression and/or human monoclonal antibodies, has significantly increased survival rates. Therapeutic apheresis using hollow fiber modules is safe and highly effective in eliminating autoantibodies and other toxins from the bloodstream, leading to rapid clinical improvement in dermatological conditions. The guidelines of the for American Application Committee of the American Society for Apheresis are cited dermatologic disorders, which could be treated with therapeutic apheresis

# Introduction

Therapeutic apheresis (TA) summarizes all extracorporeal blood purification systems, which removes with special hollow fiber membranes and second hollow fibers, or adsorption technologies with special developed columns [1]. All these extracorporeal techniques allow the elimination of plasma toxins, autoimmune antibodies, or all other pathologic substances. The advantages of these hollow fiber modules since more than 45 years, are a complete separation of cellular components from the plasma. Cell damage as thrombocytes occurs less using membranes than centrifuges for extracorporeal blood separation techniques. During TA with hollow fibers is important too to keep the blood levels of antibodies and/or pathogenic substances on a very low level over a long time during the treatment, in which the pathogenic substances that should be eliminated could enter the intravascular space and could be then removed by the membrane separators [2]. Large numbers of technological, economic, and social factors have an impact on the clinical practice of apheresis [3]. Adsorption technologies with specially developed columns allow a selective separation of toxins, autoantibodies, and other pathological substances from blood without the use of a substitution solution [1].

Therapeutic apheresis procedures are used to remove the plasma together with all high-molecular-weight substances such as immune complexes, antibodies, complement components, cytokines, various toxins, cryoglobulins, and other pathological substances [4]. Only a few prospective controlled trials with sufficient statistical power to draw definitive conclusions about the therapeutic value of TA, are available. However, large numbers of case reports, or small case series of mild to severe dermatological diseases have been reported to improve under treatment with TA.

There are many dermatologic immune-mediated diseases, which represent a heterogeneous group of disorders associated with circulating autoantibodies against various skin and/or mucosal adhesion molecules [5]. The incidence of autoimmune blistering skin diseases has doubled during in the last 10 years in Germany alone, to about 25 new cases per million peoples per year due to improved diagnostic techniques as well as the age of the population [6]. Bullous pemphigoid (BP) is the most common type of subepidermal autoimmune blistering skin disease in Europe, with an incidence of about 13 cases per million people per year [7]. Direct immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy is the diagnostic test for autoimmune blistering skin diseases to demonstrate the presence of tissue-bound autoantibodies and/or of C3 in patient's skin or mucous membranes. Most dermatologic diseases with immunologic origin require TA, immunosuppression (IS) with steroids, and/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>INUSpherese<sup>®</sup>, INUS Medical Center, Tagesklinikum, Germany



or cytotoxic agents, and human monoclonal antibodies (HMA). The therapy is most individually tailored to the needs of the patient [8,9].

The TA methods which are used in dermatology are therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE), immunoadsorption (IA), Extracorporeal Photopheresis (ECP), adsorptive cytapheresis, lymphocytapheresis [1,8]. In the present review, the authors try to give an overview of the pathogenic aspects indicating that TA, IS, and/or HMAs could be a supportive therapy in severe dermatologic disorders. The Apheresis Application Committee (AAC) of the American Society for Apheresis (ASFA) is cited for these diseases in which TA is used [8,9].

# **Dermatologic Diseases**

The dermatologic diseases can be classified as intraepidermal blistering pemphigus, such as pemphigus vulgaris (PV), pemphigus foliaceus, and paraneoplastic pemphigoid, pemphigoid gestations, and dermatitis herpetiformis [8]. The diagnosis of these dermatologic diseases are done with the direct IF microscopy and the findings of circulating antibodies in the patient's serum. Therapy includes TA, immunosuppressive agents and/or HMAs. However, the treatments used still need to be validated by prospective controlled studies [6].

## Pemphigus Vulgaris (PV)

Pemphigus vulgaris is a severe, chronic autoimmune disease of the skin and mucous membranes, and has a poor prognosis. Pemphigus vulgaris is a potentially life-threatening autoimmune disease characterized by acantholytic blisters and erosions and has antibodies against epidermal intercellular substances. Pemphigus vulgaris is a classic example of an autoantibody-induced immune dermatitis which can be recurrent or relapsing [5]. The global incidence of PV ranges from 0.7 to 5 patients per million per year [10]. The average age of onset is the sixth and seventh decade of life, and the patients present with skin lesions that typically that occur typically as flacid blisters. Both genders are equally affected [8]. The blisters can be located on the whole-body surface as well as on the oral mucosa. The deposition of antibodies on the keratinocyte cell surface characterizes PV. The titers of IgG4 antikeratinocyte antibodies can be correlated with disease activity.

The PV can worsen during pregnancy, leading to both maternal and fetal complications. This highlights the importance of effectively managing PV during pregnancy to ensure optimal outcomes for both [11]. Some authors reported severe of PV during and after COVID-19 infection, and after vaccination of COVID-19 [12, 13]. The mRNA vaccines may trigger relapses in patients with autoimmune bullous diseases [14]. The mRNA vaccine upregulates the production of T cell-dependent cytokines such as interleukin (IL) 4, IL-17, and Il-21, interferon  $\gamma$ , and tumor necrosis factor a cytokine, to mediate PV [15]. The development of PV after COVID-19 infection could be triggered by molecular mimicry, bystander activation, epitope spreading, or a combination of autoimmune phenomena. The therapy could be steroids, immunosuppressive drugs, IVIG, and rituximab.

Before the introduction of corticosteroids, PV had a high morbidity and mortality rate. The use of steroids in PV treatment has decreased the mortality rate from 70 % to 100 % to an average of 30 % [8]. However, the long-term use of

#### Copyright © David J

high doses of corticosteroids may be associated with severe side effects. Further therapeutic options include dapsone, gold, and immunosuppressive agents, such as azathioprine, methotrexate, cyclophosphamide, etc. Other treatment modalities are TPE, ECP, mycophenolate mofetil, chlorambucil, dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide, intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIG), and HMAs such as rituximab [8].

The presence of circulating pathogenic autoantibodies is the rationale for using IA in the treatment of PV. The age groups of 30 – 80 years old, TPE was used to treat PV. The duration of PV prior to the introduction of TPE ranged from 1 month to 25 years. The use of TPE can lead to a reduction of autoantibody levels and subsequent improvement in clinical symptoms. In a large a number of patients, the decline of autoantibody titers, antikeratinocyte cell surface antibodies, and with anti-desmoglein-3 correlated with clinical response [8]. Patients have been successfully treated with TPE, IA and ECP in the treatment of PV [16-19].

Epidermal antibodies, typically belonging to the IgG category, can be easily eliminated with TA [20-22]. The standard therapy of PV is a combination of high-dose glucocorticoids, and immunosuppressive drugs. Additional therapy options include TA, steroid pulse therapy, IVIG, various immunosuppressive drugs (e.g., azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, cyclosporin, mycophenolate mofetil, and mizoribine), TA and rituximab [23]. In severe cases, a combination of these adjuvant treatments may be used [22].

Therapeutic apheresis has been successfully applied in patients with severe atopic dermatitis and high total serum IgE levels. [24]. Various IA systems and immunosuppressive protocols have been used to reduce the levels of circulating autoantibodies [6]. Immunoadsorption must be combined with an immunosuppressant treatment. Adjuvant IA has a corticosteroid-sparing effect, may lead to earlier remission, and has a lower complication rate and side effects comparable with those of other extracorporeal circulation methods [25, 26]. Levels of autoantibodies have been noted in the reported patients within 1-2 weeks after discontinuation of treatment, which necessitates the continuation of immunosuppression [8]. The AAC of the ASFA has given PV the category III for TPE, IA, and ECP with the recommendation grade (RG) 2B and 2C, respectively (Table 1) [8,9]. The rationale for treatment of ECP should be continued until clinical response is observed [8].

Rituximab, a chimeric murine/human anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody administered in combination with a short course of oral corticosteroids has proven highly effective and generally well-tolerated for moderate and severe PV, and leading to an improvement of the prognosis and higher remission rate [27-29]. Elevated levels of B cell activating factor in the serum may be associated with PV immunopathogenesis, and the rituximab therapy might interfere with B cell repopulation and could be a therapeutic approach in PV [30]. The adverse events of rituximab in the treatment of PV was consistent with that of other treatments for autoimmune disorders. Especially in PV and COVID-19 infection, the evidence for treating PV patients in COVID-19 pandemic is limited, until guidelines for and COVID-19 treatment is available [31]. In addition to TA, immunosuppression, IVIG in the therapy of PV, HMAs, novel therapies such as Bruton kinase and neonatal receptors inhibitors as well as adoptive cellular transfer, may be indicated in severe cases of PV to improve this life-threatening disease [32].



# Table 1: Therapeutic apheresis in dermatologic diseases.

Apheresis Application Committee of the American Society for Apheresis [8,9]						
(Category: accepted for TA as first-line therapy; Category II: accepted for TA as second-line therapy; Category III: not accepted for TA, decision should be individualized; Category IV: not accepted for TA, Internal Medical Board (IMB) approval is durable if TA is undertaken [8,9]						
	Category	Recommendation grade	TA modality	Treated volume (TPV)	Replacement solution	Frequency
Intraepidermal blistering PV Pemphigus Vulgaris (PV)	III	2B-2C	TPE, IA, ECP	1-1.5	5% HA.	Daily or every other day
Subeepidermal blistering BP Bullous pemphigoid						
D-penicillamine-induced pemphigus						
Cutaneus T cell Lymphoma -erythrodermic	Ι	1B	ECP	1-1.5		2 days
-nonerythrodermic	III	2C	ECP	1-1.5		(one circle)
Dermatitis Herpetiformis						
Herpes gestations						
Progressive scleroderma	III III	2C 2B	TPE ECP	1-1.5	5% HA	Daily or every
Dermatomyositis	III III	2C 2C	TPE, IA	1-1.5 1-1.5	5% HA	other day
Pyoderma gangrenosum						
Epidermal necrolysis (TEN) (Lyell´s syndrome)	III	2B	TPE	1-1.5	5% HA	Daily or every other day
Behcęt disease (BD)						
Psoriasis vulgaris	III III IV	2C 2C 2B	TPE, adsorptive Cytapheresis, Lymphocyt Apheresis, ECP	1-1.5	5% HA	Daily or every Other day
Henoch-Schönlein purpura (HSP)	III	2C	TPE			
Porphyria cutanea tarda (PCT)						

TPV: total plasma volume, TA: therapeutic apheresis, TPE. Therapeutic plasma exchange, IA: immunoadsorption, ECP: extracorporeal photopheresis, 5% HA: 5% human albumin electrolyte solution.

# **Bullous Pemphigoid (BP)**

Bullous pemphigoid is another rare form of subepidermal blistering pemphigus, and frequently. involves a premonitory stage with pruritic urticarial erythema and eczematous lesions followed by the classical bullous stage with tense blisters, erosions and crusts [6]. Bullous pemphigoid is a chronic dermatosis often associated with acute exacerbations, with the formation of bullae blisters usually on the inflamed skin, subepidermal blister formation, and antibodies against the epidermal basal membrane [5]. The consequence is the combined effect of antigen, antibody, complement, and inflammatory cells, whereby lysosomal enzymes actually destroy the basal membrane zone and induce subepidermal blistering [25]. It is unclear if medication or ultraviolet rays can trigger BP. Additionally, the destruction of the basal membrane zone and the release of basal membrane antigens can cause a direct immunological response in predisposed individuals. Bullous pemphigoid may also occur in combination with other autoimmune diseases.

Bullous pemphigoid affects elderly patients in industrialized countries [33,34]. It is often associated with neurological diseases such as dementia, Parkinson's disease, cerebrovascular disease and psychiatric diseases, and some drugs, including loop diuretics and neuroleptics [35]. The association with malignancy or metabolic diseases is still discussed controversially. The therapies of BP are high-dose corticosteroids usually combined with dapsone, doxycycline, methotrexate, or azathioprine [6,36]. The course of BP is not as dramatic as in other forms of the disease. In Europe, the annual incidence of BP is approximately 13-42 new cases per million [37,38]. Some cases of BP have been treated with TA in combination with immunosuppressive drugs, IVIG, and tetracycline [39]. The relevance of autoantibodies in the majority of autoimmune bullous diseases is the rationale to remove the autoantibodies with TA. In particular, IA has been shown to effectively lower antibody levels and leads to a rapid clinical response in patients with autoimmune bullous diseases [40].

Rituximab, a CD20 monoclonal antibody, depletes B lymphocytes and has shown efficacy in severe BP cases [41]. The target interleukin (II)-4 receptor  $\alpha$ , dupilumab, blocks IL-4 and IL-13 and downregulates type 2 helper responses and demonstrated promising results. Omalizumap, an IgE antibody, can reduce disease severity and allows corticosteroids tapering. In some cases with BP. However, a patient with BP who was given dupilumab experienced an unexpected adverse event [42]. Meanwhile, IA and rituximab and especially omalizumab have been established as therapeutic options in the treatment of BP [43,44].

Citation: Bambauer R, Schiel R, Salgado OJ, Straube R (2024) Therapeutic Apheresis, Immunosuppression, and Human Monoclonal Antibodies in Dermatologic Diseases. Adv Res Dermatol Cosmetics 3: 1017

Page 3/9



# **D**-Penicillamine-induced pemphigus

D-penicillamine-induced pemphigus is a foliaceus type disease with high lethality and mortality rate that can occur in a long-term penicillamine therapy. It is steroid resistant, and therefore a special indication for TPE [45,46]. The mechanism by which D-penicillamine induces acantholysis of the epidermis has still not been clarified. Immunologic processes similar to those in PV are involved seem to be the origin of these form of pemphigus. The response of the keratinocytes to autoantibody binding via downstream signaling events and eventual keratin filament retraction and apoptosis is the final step in anti-drug-induced acantholysis, most with circulating autoantibodies against desmoglein 1 [47].

In numerous case reports of drug-induced pemphigus, autoantibodies have been described to have the same antigenic specificity at the molecular level, as autoantibodies from other pemphigus patients [48]. The probability of developing pemphigus after taking penicillamine for at least 6 months is 7 %. Other drugs have been found to induce pemphigus, such as penicillin, ampicillin, rifampicin, pyrazolon derivatives, bucillamine, captopril, combination of aspirin and indomethacin, and combination of propanol and mepbromate [47,49]. Risks for pemphigus are sulfhydryl (SH) group-containing drugs, known as thiol drugs (i.e., captopril) [50]. Druginduced pemphigus, the drug-triggered pemphigus is considered separate entities [51]. The drug only stimulates a predisposition to develop autoimmune disease in drug-triggered pemphigus, the drug only stimulates a predisposition to develop active autoimmune, disease. Penicillamine and SH group drugs actually induce pemphigus, and other drugs only trigger a previously programmed and ready to go dis immune mechanism [51]. If the offending drug is not stopped immediately, the drug-triggered pemphigus is known to be refractory to all therapy [52].

Some cases of D-penicillamine-induced pemphigus were treated successfully with TA, in combination with an immunosuppressive therapy [53]. The most specific therapeutic option is IA, which depletes only IgG in the patient's plasma with antihuman IgG affinity agarose columns and adsorption of IgG autoantibodies. De novo synthesis of IgG autoantibodies is inhibited by post-apheresis IVIG and/or immunosuppression. The IVIG has also a immunomodulatory effect, so the additional effect of IA is difficult to observe [6]. However, a combination of IA and rituximab showed a rapid and a long-lasting response to concomitant immunosuppression [54].

As an adjuvant drug, rituximab, is indicated in addition to other types of immunosuppressive therapy. However, rituximab may have complications in patients with autoimmune blistering skin disease, including infections, deep venous thrombosis of the lower limbs, pulmonary embolism, long-term hypogammaglobulinemia and neutropenia with an overall mortality of 4 % [54]. The indications, contraindications, and dosage of rituximab treatment for autoimmune blistering skin diseases and the criteria for discontinuing rituximab have been recommended [55].

## Cutaneous T cell lymphoma (CTCL)

Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma with its most common types of mycosis fungoides and its leukemic variant, the Sézary syndrome (SS), whose pathogenesis remains elusive and incurable [8]. Therapy is aimed at alleviating symptoms, improving skin manifestations, controlling extracutaneous complications, and minimizing immunosuppressive medications [6]. For refractory disease and aggressive SS, chemotherapy with alemtuzumab and stem cell transplantation is indicated.

Extracorporeal photopheresis is indicated in CTCL, in which circulating malignant CD4+ T cells, ex vivo treatment with 8-methoxypsotalen and UVA light, and reinfused. The therapeutic effect appears to be mediated by in vivo stimulation of antitumor immunity through interactions of irradiated, apoptotic lymphoma cells with antigen-presenting dendritic cells [5,6]. The ECP in combination with nonchemotherapy agents can be considered as a salvage approach for nonresponsive or relapsed patients or those with early-stage disease. The relative lack of immunosuppression and the reduced risk of infection are the advantages of ECP [6]. For a minimum of 6 months, ECP should be planed. It can be reduced to once every 6-12 weeks if maximum response is achieved. If disease progression is observed after 6 months of ECP alone, combination therapy should be considered, and if there is no or minimal response after 3 months of combination therapy, ECP should be discontinued [8]. The AAC of the ASFA has given CTCL erythrodermic the category I and RG 1B and the non-erythrodermic from the category III with RG 2C for ECP (Table 1) [8,9]. The Therapy is stage-adapted with skin therapies such as UV-light and corticosteroids and systemic therapies such as retinoids, interferon, chemotherapy, HMAs, and/ or radiation therapy in advanced stages [56,57]. In severe cases and highly-selected patients, allogenic stem-cell transplantation can provide long-term overall survival [58,59]. Other new therapy strategies may include more immunomodulatory agents, vaccines and HMAs [60].

In dermatitis herpetiformis and herpes gestations TPE is, in combination with a immunosuppression probably successful due to the pathogenesis of severe cases [5,61]. Herpes gestations or pemphigoid gestations is an autoimmune blistering disease that occurs in women during the second or third trimesters of pregnancy or even puerperium. The disease is rare, and the incidence of which has been approximately one case in every 40,000-60,000 pregnancies [61]. Resistant to corticosteroids, other treatments have been indicated including immunosuppressive agents, such as cyclosporin, azathioprine, tacrolimus, tacrolimus, and TPE. Dermatitis herpetiformis produces anti-desmoglein-3 autoantibodies and may be a variant of PV with unique clinical and histological features [62].

### Progressive scleroderma, systemic sclerosis

Systemic scleroderma or systemic sclerosis, also known as progressive scleroderma, is a rare, generalized autoimmune disorder, which is characterized by vascular abnormalities, fibrosis, inflammatory changes, and late-stage atrophy/ obliterative vasculopathy. Localized scleroderma forms, have a longitudinal or circumscribed skin involvement [63,64]. Systemic sclerosis, is an autoimmune-mediated inflammatory disease, leads to pulmonary fibrosis or to scleroderma renal crisis, which is a life-threatening complication with a mortality rate of 20 % [65,66].

The therapy for interstitial lung disease/pulmonary fibrosis for induction, is intravenous cyclophosphamide and mycophenolate mofetil or azathioprine and/or rituximab [67]. The first-line therapy for scleroderma renal crisis is an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and/or a angiotensin receptor blocker. Particular, HMAs, such as rituximab, tocilizumab, etc., have been associated with significant improvements in systemic sclerosis [68]. The efficacy of TA and/or ECP as a long-term treatment in progressive scleroderma is still disputed (Table 1) [5,69].

## Dermatomyositis (DM)

Dermatomyositis is also a rare heterogenous systemic autoimmune disease with multiple organ involvement that can result in significant disability and mortality. Dermatomyositis is an idiopathic inflammatory myopathy that most severity is manifests with proximal muscle weakness, which is associated with extra-muscular pathology including characteristic skin lesions and with involvement of the lung, gastrointestinal, joint, and cardiac [69,70]. Disease based on the presence of systemic symptoms, and myositis-specific antibodies [71].

In addition to corticosteroids, there are steroid-sparing immunosuppressive drugs including methotrexate, azathioprine, calcineurin inhibitors, mycophenolate mofetil, and cyclophosphamide [72]. Rituximab has been shown to improve refractory DM [73]. The combination of mycophenolate mofetil, rituximab and TPE or IA with polymyxin B columns is indicated in antibody-positive DM. However, the use of TA in DM is still controversial. Especially in severe DM with anti-melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 antibody is TA indicated to control disease activity [74,75]. In addition to TPE, IA with polymyxin B immobilized fiber columns have been found to be very effective [74]. The AAC of the ASFA has given DM the category III with RG 2C (Table 1) [5,9,76]. Further clinical studies are necessary to determine the optimal therapy strategy for DM.

## Pyoderma gangrenosum (PG)

Pyoderma gangrenosum is also a rare, polyetiological syndrome caused by a pathological immune reaction. The disease occurs together with colitis ulcerosa in more than 40% of the cases. Vascultis lesions in the vessel walls, have been found to contain granular deposits of IgG, C3, complement, and IgM [77]. Pyoderma gangrenosum, a non-infectious neutrophilic dermatosis, starts with sterile pustules that rapidly progress to painful ulcers of variable depth and a size, and underdetermined violaceous borders [5]. With an underlying disease is PG associated in 17 – 74% most commonly inflammatory bowel disease colitis ulcerosa, rheumatological and hematological diseases, and malignancy. Pyoderma gangrenosum pathogenesis is complex, and is clinically characterized by painful, rapidly evolving cutaneous ulcers with undermined, irregular, erythematous-violaceous edges [78].



The diagnosis of PG is based on the history of the underlying disease, typical clinical presentation and histopathology, and exclusion of other disorders that could lead to a similar presentation [79]. Clinical aspects include ulcerative or classic, pustular, bullous or typical, vegetative, peristomal signs. Subcorneal pustular dermatosis is an uncommon relapsing symmetric pustular eruption that involves flexural and intertriginous areas. This disease can be either idiopathic or associated with cancer, infections, medications, or systemic disorders [80]. Prospective randomized controlled studies are currently unavailable due to the low incidence of pyoderma gangrenosum. However, studies with a limited number of cases have been published [80,81].

Corticosteroids and cyclosporin are the first line treatment for PG. In refractory cases, additional treatments such as mycophenolate mofetil, tacrolimus, TNFa inhibitors infliximab, adalimumab, and others, and/or TA are indicated [81]. Other potential treatment options include IVIG, TNFa inhibitors, and IL-1 receptor antagonists [82,83]. The adsorptive granulocyte/monocyte apheresis has been successfully used once or twice a week in patients with PG. This method is useful and a safe treatment modality for PG [84]. Recent advances in therapy the prognosis of PG remains unpredictable.

### Drug-induced toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), Lyell's syndrome

Lyell's syndrome is a life-threatening drug reaction characterized by extensive destruction of the epidermis and mucosal epithelia. The eyes are typically involved, and with a high mortality rate [5]. Lyell's syndrome and the Steven-Johnson syndrome (SJS) are closely related, they differ in severity and outcome. The TEN and the SJS are rare diseases that present severe skin manifestations. The incidence is one to three cases per million people per year in Europe and the United States [85]. In about 80% of TEN patients, different drugs are most commonly implicated. These diseases have high mortality rates. Notably, TEN is the most severe form of drug-induced skin reaction, and is defined as epidermal detachment of 30 % of total body surface area (TBSA). An epidermal detachment of 10 %. TBSA presents SJS, whereas involvement of 10-30 % of TSBA is defined as SJS/Ten overlap [86]. To distinguish TEN from severe forms of erythema multiforme, there are helpful, etiological, clinical and histological characteristics. The path-mechanism suggests that keratinocytes of both are the cytokines. Tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and oxidative stress induce a combination of apoptotic and necrotic events [87].

The rapeutic plasma exchange is a highly effective treatment for the acute phase of toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN). The allergic or toxin-induced is usually triggered by drugs acting like hapten [88]. Sulfonamides, phenytoin, phenobarbital, carbamazepine, antiretroviral drugs, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, and allopurinol are the drugs most commonly associated with this form [89]. Early administration of TPE is recommended for Lyell's syndrome, a rare but potentially fatal condition with a mortality of approximately 50 %. TPE is safe and may reduce the mortality in severely ill TEN patients [90]. The combination of TPE and IVIG has been also shown to improve outcomes in severe TEN [91,92]. The AAC of the ASFA has given the TEN for TPE the category III and the RG 2B (Table 1) [8,9]. In patients with TEN, infliximab, a monoclonal antibody to TNF-a, has been successfully applied, and appears to improve outcomes [89]. However further studies are necessary to define the most successful therapy for TEN.

## Behcet's Disease (BD)

Behçet's disease is a multisystemic inflammatory disorder and presents with the involvement of mucocutaneous ocular, vascular, central nervous and gastrointestinal systems. This disorder is an idiopathic, chronic, and recurrent disease characterized by exacerbation alternating with plasma quiescence, episodic pan-uveitis, and aggressive non-granulomatous occlusive vasculitis of the arteries and veins of any size with explosive ocular inflammatory attacks that primarily affect the retinal and anterior segment vasculature of the eyes (93). Necrotizing vasculitis is the most common cause of central nervous system involvement in this disease, often leading to death. In these patients' ocular manifestations occur in 70-85%, with occlusive vasculitis being the underlying mechanism in all organ systems [5].

Behçet's disease is usually diagnosed in both genders during the third or fourth decade of life. It is much more prevalent in populations along the ancient "Silk Road", extending from Eastern Asia to countries in the Middle East and the Mediterranean, compared to Western countries [94]. Ethnic diversity may also influence the severity of progression and clinical manifestations [95]. Important genetic factors of BD pathogenesis, and HLA-B51 antigen is the strongest genetic susceptibility factor [94].

Behçet's disease has a high mortality rate, especially in young male patients. The most significant causes of death.is the large-vessel, neurological, gastrointestinal system, and cardiac involvement.

The effect of TPE in BD, with a debated immune-pathogenesis, is not clear. Therapeutic plasma exchange has shown success in individual cases [96]. Selective adsorption apheresis, granulocytapheresis might be effective and safe in patients with severe BD [97]. Further therapies such as cyclosporin A, tacrolimus, and TNF-blocking agents like infliximab, etanercept or adalimumab have also been reported as successful and safe treatments for severe BD [97,98]. Besides the TNF-inhibitors IL-1 such sutstekinumab, secukinumab, or tocilizumab are indicated in refractory BD in addition to TNF inhibitors [99].

### **Psoriasis vulgaris**

Psoriasis vulgaris is a common autoimmune chronic inflammatory skin disease which affects approximately 2 % of the world's population. The immunopathogenic mechanism is the secretion of type 1 (Th 1) cytokines by T cells and their activation [100]. Cytokines, which are intercellular molecules, play an important role in the development and maintenance of cutaneous inflammation have the cytokines, which are intercellular molecules [101]. The pathogenesis of psoriasis vulgaris is still for the most part unclear: Autoantibodies, circulating immune complexes, and cytokines are thought to be responsible for triggering flares of the disease or a new attack [5]. However, there has been no correlation between the levels of circulating immune complexes, disease activity, or response to treatment. Psoriasis vulgaris, as a chronic inflammatory disorder, is associated with impaired skin barrier function, and show elevated IgE levels in a significant proportion of patients with this disease, but its elevated levels were not associated with treatment outcome [102].

The indication for TPE is based on the presumption of immunopathogenesis. However, TPE may be beneficial in patients with psoriatic arthropathy who do not respond to conventional therapy [103]. Other methods are ECP, adsorptive cytapheresis, and lymphocytapheresis, which has been categorized as III by the AAC of the ASFA with the RG 2C. The skin lesions did not respond to ECP, therefore ECP has the category IV and the RG 2B (Table 1) [100,103,104].

Besides the treatment with calcipotriol and betamethasone valerate, biologic, the choice of biologic treatment in psoriasis vulgaris show to be more helpful [105]. These treatments include blocking TNF-a factors such as infliximab, oretanercept, JAK inhibitors such as tofacitinib and interleukin-17A inhibitors such as secukinumab and others. All biologic agents are safe and effective in treating psoriasis vulgaris [106-108]. However, further investigations are needed to determine the long-term effectiveness of these treatments.

## Henoch-Schönlein purpura (HSP)

Henoch-Schönlein purpura is a systemic vasculitis that affects small vessels. The disease typically affects the lower limbs, and is often associated, with varying degrees, of joints, gastrointestinal and renal involvement [5]. Henoch-Schönlein purpura is a systemic disease where antigen-antibody (IgA) complexes that activate the alternative complement pathway, leading to inflammation and small-vessel vasculitis [109].

The presence of two or more of the following criteria defines HSP: age of disease onset, mostly 20 years or younger, palpable purpura, acute abdominal pain, and granulocytic infiltration in the walls of arterioles or venules [110]. HSP is characterized by small-vessel vasculitis with predominant IgA vascular deposits, and all patients develop palpable purpura. Subepidermal hemorrhage and small-vessel necrotizing vasculitis lead to the purpura [8]. IgG autoantibodies directed at mesangial antigens may play a role in the pathogenesis. Necrotizing vasculitis leads to organ dysfunction or hemorrhage in other organs. However, the precise role of IgA or antibodies in the disorder's pathogenesis remains unclear. According to various investigations, antiglycan antibodies recognize galactose-deficient IgA1 leading to the formation of circulating immune complexes and the mesangial deposition, which is turn causes renal injury [111].

Patients with IgA nephropathy, including HSP nephritis, who present a rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis have a poor prognosis despite aggressive immunosuppressive therapy [112]. Therefore, TPE is indicated as an adjunctive therapy with immunosuppression. In the AAC of the ASFA, TPE has category III with RG 2C for the crescentic form and severe extra-renal manifestations of HSP (Table 1) [8,9]. Other therapeutic strategies for severe HSP, besides corticosteroids, are methotrexate,

Citation: Bambauer R, Schiel R, Salgado OJ, Straube R (2024) Therapeutic Apheresis, Immunosuppression, and Human Monoclonal Antibodies in Dermatologic Diseases. Adv Res Dermatol Cosmetics 3: 1017

Page 5/9



cyclophosphamide, or azathioprine. Further therapies are mycophenolate mofetil, or cyclosporine A, or tacrolimus, are all safe and effective [113]. Although rituximab and dapsone have been successful, there is still a lack of prospective randomized clinical studies proving treatment efficacy [114]. It is difficult to establish treatment protocols due to spontaneous recovery even in patients with severe clinical and histological presentation and of late evolution to chronic kidney disease in patients with mild initial symptoms [115].

# Porphyria Cutanea Tarda (PCT)

Porphyria cutanea tarda is a metabolic disease of hem biosynthesis caused by decreased activity of uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase. It is characterized by fragility, erosions, bullae, milia, and scars on sun-exposed skin [116]. Excess porphyrins in the skin interact with light of approximately 400-nm-wave length radiant energy interact with excess porphyrins in the skin, forming reactive oxygen species. The disease can be familial, acquired, or toxic. Alcohol, estrogen, iron, polyhalogenated compounds can induce clinical expression of PCT in susceptible individuals. PCT is also associated with an increased incidence of the hemochromatosis gene [116].

Porphyria cutanea tarda is the most common form of human porphyria, due to hepatic deficiency of uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase, which is acquired in the presence of iron overload and other factors [117]. The pathogenesis is the identification of the iron overloaded-induced inhibitor of hepatic uroporphyrin decarboxylase activity that causes the PCT, and the identification of an X-linked form of erythropoietic porphyria due to gain-of-function mutations in erythroid-specific 5-aminolevulinate synthase [118]. During hematopoiesis, protoporphyrin accumulates in the maturing red blood cells. Free protoporphyrin diffuses across the red blood cell membranes and binds to plasma proteins, when red blood cells enter the circulation. The liver extracts protoporphyrin from the plasma, most of which is excreted unchanged into the bile, with the remainder being metabolized to hem. Some protoporphyrin some protoporphyrin is subsequently reabsorbed during enterohepatic circulation [119]. Besides phlebotomy, TPE as a treatment for PCT is reported by many authors [5,120].

### **Summary**

Other dermatological diseases, such as necrotic xanthogranuloma or scleromyxedema, are not mentioned here, due to the oncological treatment or the lack of clinical data. Patients with neurodermatitis, alopecia, totalis, and other dermatological diseases were successfully treated with the double filtration plasmapheresis system with a special double filter TKM 58 [121,122] The mentioned TA methods are still technically complicated and expensive.

Physicians are committed to helping all patients entrusted to them, and this means providing medical treatment – including apheresis techniques. This demand presents a great challenge to physicians, politicians, health organizations, and especially manufactures. Medical supply companies constantly justifies the high costs due to the expensive research and development required. All those involved in the healthcare system must strengthen their cooperation in the respect. Pemphigus vulgaris is an example of antibody-induced immune dermatosis. In patients with severe symptoms who either received high doses of conventional agents and/or have an aggressive and rapidly progressive disorder, TPE, or IA and ECP are indicated. Bullous pemphigoid is a rare form of subepidermal blistering pemphigus, and is not as dramatic as other autoimmune diseases and responds well to conventional therapy. In cases of severe BP and d-penicillamine-induced pemphigus, TPE or IA in combination with immunosuppression are recommended [5].

Chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation are indicated for more aggressive forms of CTCL The AAC of the ASFA has given CTCL the category I and the RG 1B for ECP. ECP has the advantage of causing less immune suspension and a lower risk of infections. Therapeutic plasma exchange or intravenous immunoglobulin administration can be successful in severe cases of progressive scleroderma, dermatomyositis, TEN, psoriasis vulgaris, and HST. The first-line therapy for these diseases is immunosuppression. Biologics, or TA could act as second-line therapy. In cases of Behçet's disease, dermatitis herpetiformis, herpes gestations, and pyoderma gangrenosum, TA may be used as a second-line therapy. The last four mentioned diseases are not discussed in the guidelines of the AAC of the ASFA.

A well-trained and experienced physician can overcome technical difficulties to complete the procedure without complications [123]. However, for all mentioned diseases the quotient relevant for cost-effectiveness assessment (cost of treatment – cost saved: improvement in life quality) must be discussed and calculated precisely by all involved parties [124]. Every effort should be made to delay the progression of acute and chronic diseases. Therapeutic apheresis is clearly an important tool in the treatment of many complex conditions both currently and in the future [125]. In most patients the treatment with TA must be combined with a immunosuppression, IVIG, and/or biologic agents.

## References

- 1. Bambauer R, Schiel R, Lehmann B, Bambauer (2012) Therapeutic Apheresis, Technical Overview. ARPN J Sci Technol 2: 399-422.
- Bambauer R, Schiel R (2023) Therapeutic Apheresis, Immunosuppression, and Human Monoclonal Antibodies in Hematologic Diseases. Med Res Arch 11(7): 2.
- Malchesky PS, Bambauer R, Horiuchi T, Kaplan A, Sakurada Y, et al. (1995) Apheresis Technologies: An International Perspective. Artif Org 19(4): 315-323.
- Bambauer R, Schiel R (2020) Therapeutic Apheresis in Neurology. J Clin Res Rep 5(5).
- Bambauer R, Schiel R (2019) Dermatological Diseases Treated with Therapeutic Apheresis. Goap Dermatol 1(1): 35-45.
- Meyersburg D, Schmitt E, Kasperkiewicz M, Zillikens D (2012) Immunoadsorption in dermatology. Ther Apher Dial 16(4): 311-320.
- Langan SM, Smeeth L, Hubbard R, Fleming KM, Smith CJP, et al. (2008) Bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris-incidence and mortality in the UK: population based on cohort study. BMJ 337: 180.
- Padmanabham A, Connelly SL, Aqui N, Hofmann JC, Klingel R, et al. (2019) Guidelines on the use of Therapeutic Apheresis in Clinical Practice-Evidence-Based Approach from the Writing Committee of the American Society for Apheresis. J Clin Apher 34: 171-354.
- Connelly SL, Alquist CR, Aqui NA, Hofmann JC, Klingel R, et al. (2023) Guidelines on the use of Therapeutic Apheresis in Clinical Practice-Evidence-Based Approach from the Writing Committee on the American Society for Apheresis: The Ninth Special Issue. J Clin Apher, pp. 77-278.
- Yuan O, Yang W, Zhang X (2023) Immune cells in pemphigus vulgaris and bullous Pemphigoid: From pathogenic roles to targeting therapies. Int Immunopharmacol 123: 110694.
- 11. Lan Y, Zhang H, Jin H (2024) Pregnancy in pemphigus vulgaris: A systematic review. Am J Reproduct Immunol 91(1): e13813.
- 12. Ghalamkarpour F, Pourani MR (2020) Aggressive course of pemphigus vulgaris following COVID-19 infection. Dermatol Ther 33(6): e14395.
- Elmas F, Demirbas A, Türsen Ü, Atasoy M, Lotti T (2020) Pemphigus and COVID-19: Critical overview of management with a focus on treatment choice. Dermatol Ther 33(6): e14265.
- Damiani G, Pacifico A, Pelloni F, Lorrizzo M (2021) The first dose of COVID-19 vaccine may trigger pemphigus and bullous pemphigoid flares: is the second dose therefore contraindicated. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venerol 35(10): 645-647.
- Zou H, Daveluy S (2022) Pemphigus vulgaris after COVID-19 infection and vaccination. Res Letter. 87(3): 709-710.
- Ruocco E, Baroni A, Wolf R, Ruocco V (2009) Life-threatening bullous dermatosis: pemphigus vulgaris. Clin Dermatol 23(3): 223-226.
- Tselin S, Julius U, Bornstein SR, Hohenstein B (2017) Low rate of infectious complications following immunoadsorption therapy without regular substitution of intravenous immunoglobulins. Ateroscler Supplem 30: 278-282.
- Mazzoni A, Giampietro C, Biancol F, Grazzini T, Nencini C, et al. (2017) Extracorporeal photopheresis and liver transplantation: our experience and preliminary data. Transf Apher Sci 56(4): 515-519.
- Edelson R, Wu Y, Schneidermann J (2018) American Council on ECP (ACE): Why now? Consensus Conference Report. J Clin Apher 33(4): 464-468.
- 20. Ludwig RJ (2013) Clinical presentation, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment of epidermolysis bullosa acquisita. ISRN Dermatol.
- Behzad M, Möbs C, Kneisel A, Möller M, Hoyer J, et al. (2012) Combined treatment with immunoadsorption and rituximab leads to fast and prolonged clinical remissions in difficult to treat pemphigus. Br J Dermatol 166(4): 844-852.



- 22. Odamaki S, Hori Y, Nakai S (2018) Conventional and Selective Plasma Exchange Using a Complete Mixed Reactor Model. Ther Apher Dial 23(3): 266-270.
- 23. Hashimoto T (2008) Treatment strategies for pemphigus vulgaris in Japan. Exp Opin Pharmacother 9(9): 1519-1530.
- 24. Kumar R, Jindal A, Kaur A, Gupta S (2015) Therapeutic Plasma Exchange A New Dawn in the treatment of Pemphigus Vulgaris. Ind J Dermatol 60(4): 419.
- 25. Kasperkiewicz M, Shimanovich I, Meier M, Schumacher N, Westermann L, et al. (2012) Treatment of severe pemphigus with a combination of immunoadsorption, rituximab, pulsed dexamethasone and azathioprine/mycophenolate mofetil: a pilot study of 23 patients. Br J Dermatol 166(1): 154-160.
- Van Beek N, Emig R, Reuss A, Zillikens D, Sárdy M, et al. (2023) Efficacy and safety of adjuvant immunoadsorption in pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus (IA-Poem Study): a multicenter randomized controlled trial, Br J Dermatol 190(5): 657-667.
- 27. Frampton JE (2020) Rituximab: A Review in Pemphigus Vulgaris. Am J Clin Dermatol 21(1): 149-156.
- Didona D, Paolino G, Di Zenzo G, Didona B, Pampena R, et al. (2022) Pemphigus Vulgaris: Present and Future Therapeutic Strategies. Dermatol Pract Concept 12(1): e2022037.
- 29. Lemieux A, Joly P (2023) Pemphigus Vulgaris. In: European Handbook Dermatologic Treatments., pp. 745-758.
- 30. Daneshvar E, Tavakolpour S, Mahamoudi H, Daneshpazhooh M, Teimourpour A, et al. (2022) Elevated serum level of B cell activating factor (BAFF) after rituximab therapy in pemphigus vulgaris suggests a possible therapeutic efficacy of B cell depletion therapies combined with anti-BAFF agents. Int J Dermatol 62(4): 567-574.
- Beyzaee AM, Rokni GR, Patil A, Goldust M (2020) Rituximab as the treatment of pemphigus vulgaris in the COVID-19 pandemic era: A narrative review. Dermatol Ther 34(1): e14405.
- Altmann EM (2020) Novel therapies for Pemphigus Vulgaris. Am J Clin Dermatol 21(6): 765-782.
- Huttelmaiewr J, Benoit S, Goegelöer M (2023) Comorbidity in bullous pemphigoid: up-date and clinical implications. Front Immunol 14: 1196999.
- 34. Prasad PD, Priyanka K, Yagnasree K (2023) Facts and Treatment of Bullous pemphigoid: A Review. J Advanc Zoology 44: 805.
- 35. Bernard P, Antonicelli F (2017) Bullous Pemphigoid: A Review of its Diagnosis, Associations and Treatment. Am J Clin Dermatol 18(4): 513-528.
- Bagci IS, Horváth ON, Ruzicka T, Sárdy M (2017) Bullous pemphigoid. Autoimmunity Rev 16(5): 445-455.
- Langan SM, Smeeth L, Hubbard R, Fleming KM, Smith CJP, et al. (2008) Bullous pemphigoid and pemphigus vulgaris-incidence and mortality in the UK: population based on cohort study. BMJ 337: a180.
- Ludwig RJ (2013) Clinical presentation, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment of epidermolysis bullous acquisita. ISRN Dermatol.
- Fontaine J, Joly P, Roujeau JC (2014) Treatment of Bullous Pemphigoid. J Dermatol 20(2): 83-90.
- 40. Bertram F, Bröcker FB, Zillikens D, Schmidt E (2009) Prospective analysis of the incidence of autoimmune bullous disorders in Franconia, Germany. Dtsch Dermatol Ges 7(5): 434-440.
- Karakioulaki M, Eyerich K, Patsatsi A (2023) Advancements in Bullous Pemphigoid Treatment: A Comprehensive Pipeline Update. Am J Clin Dermatol 25(2): 195-212.
- 42. Mariotti EB, Corra A, Almo C, Calabria VR, Quintarelli L, et al. (2023) Exacerbation of clinical manifestations of bullous pemphigoid after treatment with dupilimab. Clin Exp Dermatol 49(4): 399-400.
- 43. Kolesnik M, Becker E, Reinhold D, Ambach A, Heim MU, et al. (2014) Treatment of severe autoimmune blistering skin diseases with combination of protein-A immunoadsorption and rituximab: a protocol without initial high dose or pulse steroid medication. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venerol 28(6): 771-780.
- 44. Chebani R, Lombart F, Chaby G, Dadban A, Debarbieux S, et al. (2024) Omalizumab in the treatment of bullous pemphigoid resistant to first-line therapy: a French national multicenter retrospective study on 100 patients. Br J Dermatol 190(2): 258-265.

- Bahmer FA, Bambauer R, Stenger D (1985) Penicillamine-induced Pemphigus Foliaceus like Dermatosus. A case with unusual features, successfully treated by plasmapheresis. Arch Dermatol 121(5): 665-668.
- 46. Culton DA, Quian Y, Li N, Rubenstein D, Aoki V, et al. (2008) Advances in pemphigus and its endemic pemphigus foliaceus (Fogo selvagam) phenotype: a paradigm of human autoimmunity. J Autoimmuun 31(4): 311-324.
- Ghaedi F, Etesami I, Aryanian Z, Kalantari Y, Goodarzi A, et al. (2021) Druginduced pemphigus: A systemic review of 170 patients. Int immunopharmac 92: 107299.
- 48. Penas PF, Buezo GF, Carvajal I, Daudén E, López A, et al. (1997) D-Penicillamineinduced pemphigus foliaceus with autoantibodies to desmoglein-1 in patients with mixed connective tissue disease. J Am Acad Dermatol 37(1): 121-123.
- Mueller S, Stanley JR (1990) Pemphigus: pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus. In: Wojnaroska F, Briggaman RA (eds.). Management of Blistering Diseases, (1<sup>st</sup> ed.) Chapmann and Hall Medical. London pp. 423.
- Yoshimura K, Ishii N, Hamada T, Abe T, Ono F, et al. (2014) Clinical and immunological profiles in 17patients with drug-induced pemphigus studied at Kurume University. Br J Dermatol 171(3): 544-553.
- 51. Brenner S, Wolf R, Ruocco V (1993) Drug-induced pemphigus. I. A survey: CLIN Dermatol 11(4): 501-505.
- Khashoggi, M, Machet L, Perrinaud A, Brive D, Machet MC, et al. (2013) D-penicillamine-induced pemphigus: changes in anti-32-2Bimmunostaining patterns. Ann Dermatol Vénéréol 140(8-9): 531-534.
- 53. Bambauer R, Latza R, Burgard D, Schiel R (2017) Autoimmune Disorders treated with Therapeutic Apheresis. Imunol Res Ther J 1(1): 111-132.
- 54. Schmitt E, Zillikens D (2010) Immunoadsaorption in dermatology. Arch Dermatol Res 302(4): 241-253.
- Hertl M, Zillikens D, Borradori L, Bruckner TL, Burckhard H, et al. (2008) Recommendations for the use of rituximab (anti-CD20 antibody) in the treatment of autoimmune bullous skin diseases. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges 6(5): 366-373.
- 56. Kempf W, Mitteldorf C (2021) Cutaneus T-cell lymphomas An update 2021. Hematol Oncol 51: 46-51.
- 57. Dummer R, Vermeer MH, Scarisbrick JJ, Kim YH, Stonesifer C, et al. (2021) Cutaneus T cell lymphoma. Nat Rev Dis Prim 7(1): 61.
- 58. Wilcox RA (2017) Cutaneus T-cell lymphoma: 2017 update on diagnosis, risk stratification, and management. Am J Hematol 92(10): 1085-1102.
- 59. Klimentova M, Perminova M, Shelikhova L (2024) Transplant Cellul Ther.
- Guglielmo A, Zengarini C, Agostinelli C, Motta G, Sabattini E, et al. (2024) The role of Cytokines in Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Focus on the State of the Art and Possible Therapeutic Targets 13(7): 584.
- Villaverde RR, Cano DS, Tortosa CLR (2011) Pemphigoid gestations: therapeutic response to pre- and postpartum immunoglobulin therapy. Actas Dermosifiliogr 102(9): 735-737.
- Kubo A, Amsagai M, Hashimoto T, Doi T, Higashiyama M, et al. (1997) Herpetiform pemphigus showing reactivity with pemphigus vulgaris antigen (desmoglein 3). Br J Dermatol 137(1): 109-113.
- 63. Laszio C, Cecilla V (2006) Clinical features of scleroderma-like disorders: a challenge for the rheumatologist. Curr Rheumatol Rev 2: 369-379.
- Harris ES, Meiselman HJ, Moriatry PM, Metzger A, Malkovsky M (2018) Therapeutic plasma exchange for the treatment of systemic sclerosis: A comprehensive review and analysis. J Sclerod Rel Dis 3(2): 132-152.
- 65. Roofeh D, Lescoat A, Khana D (2021) Treatment for Systemic Sclerosis-Associated Interstitial Lung Disease. Curr Opin Rheumatol 33(2): 240-248.
- Cole A, Ong VH, Denton CP (2023) Renal Disease and Systemic Sclerosis: an Update on Scleroderma Renal Crisis. Clin Rev Aller Immunol 64(3): 378-391.
- Walker KM, Pope J (2012) Treatment of Systemic Sclerosis Complications: What to Use When First-Line Treatment Fails – A Consensus of Systemic Sclerosis Experts. Sem Arthr Rheumat 42(1): 42-55.
- 68. Goswami RP, Ray A, Chatterjee, Mukherjee A, Sircar G, et al. (2021) Rituximab in the treatment of systemic sclerosis-related interstitial lung disease: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatol 60(2): 557-567.



- Griger Z, Vincze MN, Dankó K (2017) Pharmacological management of dermatomyositis. Exp Rv Clin Pharmacol 10(10): 1109-1118.
- Paik JJ, Lubin G, Gromatzky A, Mudd PN, Ponda MP, et al. (2023) Use of JAK inhibitors in Dermatomyositis: A Systemic Literature Review. Clin Exp Rheumatol 41(2): 348-356.
- 71. Waldmann R, DeWane ME, Lu J (2020) Dermatomyositis: Diagnosis and treatment. J Am Acad Dermatol 82(2): 283-296.
- Sasaki H, Kohsaka H (2018) Current diagnosis and treatment of polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Mod Rheumatol 28(6): 913-921.
- 73. Saak V, Jorizzo JL (2018) Recent developments on treatment strategies and the prognosis of dermatomyositis: a review. J Dermatol Treat 29(5): 450-459.
- 74. Hata K, Kotani T, Matsuda S, Fujiki Y, Suzuka T, et al. (2023) Aggressive multicombination therapy for anti-MDAS antibody-positive dermatomyositis-rapidly progressive interstitial lung disease. Int J Rheumat Dis 27(1): e14999.
- 75. Watanabe T, Taniguchi M, Ogura S, Asou M, Takayanagi S, et al. (2024) Effectiveness and safety of plasma exchange for anti-MDAS antibody-positive clinically amyotrophic dermatomyositis with rapidly progressive interstitial lung disease refractory to intensive immune suppression therapy: A case series. Ther Apher Dial 28(3): 432-441.
- 76. Kim HJ, Chung Y, Kim H, Hwang SH, Oh HB, et al. (2024) Trends in category and grade for therapeutic plasma exchange in the latest guideline on therapeutic apheresis by the American Society for Apheresis: Hurdies in pursuing evidencebased medicine. Vox Sang 119(5): 476-482.
- 77. Wolff U, Stingl G (1989) Pyoderma gangrenosum. Pyod Gangr 112: 1328.
- Maronese CA, Pimnetle MA, Li MM, Genovese G, Loayza AGO (2022) Pyoderma Gangrenosum: Anm Updated Literature Review on Established and Emerging Pharmacological Treatments. Am J Clin Dermatol 23(5): 615-634.
- Wollina U (2002) Clinical management of pyoderma gangrenosum. Am J Clin Dermatol 3(3): 149-158.
- 80. Attar S, Spandehl MJ, Casey WJ, Smith AA (2010) Pyoderma gangrenosum complicating bilateral total knee arthroplasty. Orthpopedics 33(6): 441.
- Reichrath J, Bens G, Bonowitz A, Tilgen W (2005) Treatment recommendations for pyoderma gangrenosum: an evidence-based review of the literature based on more than 350 patients. J Am Acad Dermatol 53: 273-283.
- Quist SR, Kraas L (2017) Treatment options for pyoderma gangrenosum. J Deut Dermatol Geselsch 15(1): 34-40.
- Dissemond J, Marzano AV, Hampton PJ, Loayza AGO (2023) Pyoderma Gangrenosum: Treatment Options. Ther Prct 83(14): 1255-1267.
- Higashi Y, Ibusuki A, Baba N, Hatanaka M, Tada KI, et al. (2021) Granulocyte and monocyte adsorption apheresis for pyoderma gangrenosum. Ther Apher Dial 26(2): 450-455.
- Fritsch PO, Sidoroff A (2000) Drug-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic peidermal necrolysis. AM J Clin Dermatol 1(6): 349-360.
- Kim HI, Kim SW, Park GY, Kwon EG, Kim HH, et al. (2012) Causes and outcomes of Steven-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in 82 adult patients. Ko J Intern Med 27(2): 203-210.
- Pereira FA, Mudgil AV, Rosmarin DM (2007) Toxic epidermal necrolysis. J Am Acad Dermatol 56(2): 181-200.
- Paquet P, Piéard GE (2010) New insights in toxic epidermal necrolysis (Lyell's syndrome). Clinical considerations, pathophysiology and target treatments revisited. Drug Safety 33(3): 189-212.
- Lissia M, Mulas P, Bulla A, Rubino C (2010) Toxic epidermal necrolysis (Lyell's syndrome). Burns 36(2): 152-163.
- 90. Harr T, French LE (2010) Toxic epidermal necrolysis and Stevens-Johson syndrome. Orpha J Rare Dis 5(1): 39.
- Krajeski A, Mazurek MJ, Krajeski EM, Piorun K, Knakiewicz M, et al. (2019) Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis Therapy with TPE and IVIG – 10 years of Experience of the Burns Treatment Center. J Burn Care Res 40(5): 652-665.
- Struzyna J, Surowiecka A, Korzeniowski T, Piszczek J, Korulczyk P, et.al. (2022) Immunomodulatory Treatment of Lyell's Syndrome: A Simultaneous Plasmapheresis and Intravenous Immunoglobulins Therapy. J Burn Care Res 43(6): 1394-1398.

- Everreklioglu C (2005) Current concepts in the etiology and treatment of Behcet disease. Surv Ophthalmol 50(4): 297-350.
- 94. Alpsoy E, Bocza BC, Bilgic A (2021) Behcet Disease: An Update for Dermatologists. Am J Clin Dermatol 22(4): 477-502.
- 95. Direskeneli H (2006) Autoimmunity vs autoinflammation in Behcęt disease: do we oversimplify a complex disorder? Rheumatology (Oxford) 45(12): 1461-1465.
- Mumcu G, Inanc N, Yavuz S, Direskeneli H (2007) The role of infectious agents in the pathogenesis, clinical manifestations and treatment strategies in Behcet disease. Clin Exp Rheumatol 25(4): 527-533.
- Namba K, Sonoda KH, Kitamei H, Shiratori K, Ariyama A, et al. (2006) Granulocytapheresis in patients with refractory ocular Behcet disease. J Clin Apher 21(2): 121-128.
- Greco A, De Virgilio A, Ralli M, Ciofalo A, Mancini P, et al. (2018) Behcet disease: New insights into pathophysiology, clinical features and treatment options. Autoimmun Rev 17(6): 567-575.
- 99. Oner FA, Diresskeneli H (2021) Advances in the Treatment of Behcet's Disease. Curr Rheumatol Rep 23(6): 47.
- Liumbruno GM, Centoni PE, Molfettini P, Ceretelli S, Ceccarini M, et al. (2006) Lymphocytopheresis in the treatment of psoriasis vulgaris. J Clin Apher 21(3): 158-164.
- Williams JDL, Griffiths CEM (2002) Cytokine blocking agents in dermatology. Clin Exp Dermatol 27(7): 585-590.
- Roh WO, OH J, Lee MG, Kim TG (2023) Elevated serum IgE levels are not associated with poor treatment outcome in psoriasis vulgaris. J Dermatol 50(8): 1081-1083.
- 103. Jorstad S, Bergh K, Iversen OJ, Johnsson M, Lysvand H, et al. (1998) Effects of cascade apheresis in patients with psoriasis and psoriatic arthropathy. Blood Purif 16(1): 37-42.
- Wilfert H, Hönigsmann H, Steiner G, Smolen J, Wolff K (1990) Treatment of psoriasis arthritis by extracorporeal photo chemotherapy. Br J Dermatol 122(2): 225-232.
- 105. Tamer F, Edek YC, Aksakal AB (2024) Effect of treatment with biologic agents on the novel inflammatory biomarkers systemic immune inflammation index and systemic inflammation response index for psoriasis. Dermatol Pract Concept 14(1): e2024065.
- 106. Kragballe K, Giertsen BT, de Hoop D, Karlsmark T,van de Kerkhof PC, et al. (1991) Double-blind, right/left comparison of calcipotriol and betamethasone valerate in treatment of psoriasis vulgaris. Lancet 337: 193-196.
- 107. Brunasso AMG, Puntoni M, Massone C (2022) Drug survival rates of biological treatments in patients with psoriasis vulgaris. Br J Dermatol 166(2): 447-449.
- 108. Hongdo L, Honglei W, Guizhi Q, et al. (2023) Inter Immunopharmacol 112:110591.
- Sohagia AB, Guptha SG, Tong TR, Hertan HI (2010) Henoch-Schönlein Purpura

   a case report and review of the literature. Gastroentrol Res Prat 597648.
- 110. Rech J, Fuchs F, Kallert S, Hueber AJ, Requadt C, et al. (2007) Plasmapheresis therapy in an elderly patient with rapidly progressive Henoch-Schönlein purpura with disseminated organ involvement. Clin Rheumatol 26: 112-114.
- 111. Kawasaki Y (2011) The pathogenesis and treatment of pediatric Henoch-Schönlein purpura nephritis. Clin Exp Nephrol 15(5): 648-657.
- 112. Nguyen B, Acharya C, Tangpanithandee S, Miao J, Krisanapan P, et al. (2023) Efficacy and Safety of Plasma Exchange as an Adjunctive Therapy for Rapidly Progressive IgA Nephropathy and Henoch-Schönlein Purpura Nephritis: A Systematic Review. Int J Mol Sci 24(4): 3977.
- 113. Zhang H, Haiping X, Li X, Ran F, Zhao G (2021) Effect and safety evaluation of tacrolimus and Tripterygium glycosides combined therapy in treatment of Henoch-Schönlein purpura nephritis. Int J Urol 28(11): 1154-1163.
- 114. Chartapsiak W, Opastiraku SL, Willis NS, Craig JC, Hodson EM (2009) Prevention and treatment of renal disease in Henoch-Schönlein purpura: a systemic review. Arch Dis Child 94(2): 132-137.
- 115. Davin JC (2011) Henoch-Schönlein purpura nephritis pathophysiology, treatment and future strategy. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 6(3): 679-689.



- 116. Bleasel NR, Varigos GA (2000) Porphyria cutanea tarda. Austr J Dermatol 41(4): 197-206.
- 117. Singal AK (2019) Porphyria cutanea tarda: Recent update. Mol Gen Metabol 128(3): 271-281.
- 118. Balwani M, Desnick R (2012) The porphyria: advances in diagnosis and treatment. Blood 120: 4496-4504.
- 119. Anstey AV, Hit RJ (2007) Liver disease in erythropoietic protoporphyria: insights and implications for management. Postgrad med J 56(7): 1009-1018.
- 120. Sarkany RPE (2001) The management of porphyria cutanea tarda. Clin Exp Dermatol 26(3): 225-232.
- 121. Straube R, Das VBK (2023) Subhealth-Syndrome. Scout Medien GmbH, Kollnburg, Germany.
- 122. Straube R (2024) Personal communication.
- 123. Steffanuti C, Lanfi A, Di Giacomo, Mareri M, Lorenzo FD, et al. (2004) Therapeutic apheresis in low weight patients: technical feasibility, toleranc, compliance, and risks. Transfus Apher Sci 31(1): 3-10.
- 124. Bambauer R, Latza R, Burgard D, Schiel R (2017) Therapeutic Apheresis in Immunologic Renal and Neurological Disease. Ther Apher Dial 21(1): 6-21.
- 125. Malchesky PS (2015) Therapeutic Apheresis: Why? Ther Apher Dial 19(5): 417-426.

